PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON

NEW SYNONYMIES AND GENERIC CHANGES IN THE LYGAEIDAE (HEMIPTERA-HETEROPTERA)

By Peter D. Ashlock

Entomology Res. Div., Agricultural Res. Serv., U. S. Dept. Agriculture*

The following changes are published now so that they may be used in forthcoming publications in which nomenclatorial changes seem out of place, and so that they may be incorporated in a world catalogue of the Lygaeidae now in preparation by James A. Slater.

Kleidocerys virescens (Fabricius), new combination

Acanthia virescens Fabricius, 1794, Ent. Syst., 4:70.

Tingis (?) virescens (Fabr.), Fabricius, 1803, Syst. Rhyng., p. 127.

Ischnorhynchus championi Distant, 1882, Biol. Cent. Amer., Ins., Hemip.-

Heterop., 1:193, Pl. 19, Fig. 3, new synonymy.

Kleidocerys championi (Dist.), Barber, 1947, Mem. Soc. Cubana Hist. Nat., 19:64; Barber, 1953, Proc. Ent. Soc. Washington, 55: 281.

Remarks: For nearly a century, authors, following Stål, have been treating virescens as a member of the Cymini, either in the genus Cymodema or in the genus Cymus (see synonymy of Cymus breviceps Stål below). Through the courtesy of S. L. Tuxen and C. J. Drake, I have examined the type of the species and find that it is the same as the species described by Distant as Ischnorhynchus championi.

Fabricius' description mentions the presence of two spots close together in the middle of the hemelytra and three spots on its posterior margin, while Stål's redescription has a note appended remarking on their absence. Spots in these positions are present in the Fabrician type I have seen, and are a common occurrence in species of *Kleidocerys*, but are present in no member of the Cymini. Apparently Stål did not have the type specimen of *virescens* before him when he redescribed the species.

When Tuxen sent a number of Fabrician types to Drake, he assured him that they were the true Fabrician specimens. The specimen here discussed is in fairly good condition, but has been pinned through the thorax with a rather large pin, and it lacks both antennae. There is

o Now University of California, Berkeley.

a single label on the pin upon which is handwritten "virescens" on the upper surface, and "n. sp." on the lower surface. The first "s" on the upper surface and the "s" on the lower surface are of the old f-style. I have selected this specimen as lectotype, and have added to the specimen a label so stating.

Cymus breviceps Stål

Cymodema virescens (Fabr.), Stål, 1868, Svenska Vet.-Akad. Handl. (Hemip. Fabr. 1.), 7(11): 77, misidentification.

Cymus virescens (Fabr.), Stål, 1874, Svenska Vet.-Akad. Handl. (Enum. Hemip. 4.), 12(1):127, misidentification; Barber, 1923, Amer. Mus. Nov., 75:12, misidentification, makes breviceps Stål a synonym.

Cymus breviceps Stål, 1874, Svenska Vet.-Akad. Handl. (Enum. Hemip. 4.), 12(1):127; Van Duzee, 1909, Canadian Ent., 41:372, makes exiguum Horváth a synonym.

Cymodema exiguum Horváth, 1908, Ann. Mus. Nat. Hungarici, 6:559.

Remarks: Since virescens Fabr. has been found to belong to the genus Kleidocerys, another name must be found for Cymus virescens of authors, not Fabricius. Oddly enough, Stål himself described the species in his Enumeratio Hemipterorum as Cymus breviceps, and apparently did not recognize that it was the same as the one he redescribed as Cymodema virescens (Fabr.). Since virescens was originally described from the West Indies ("Americae meridionalis insulis") and breviceps from Texas and Carolina, possibly it did not occur to Stål that his two descriptions applied to the same species. Cymodema exiguum Horváth, described from Washington, D. C., is a later synonym. I have reviewed the specimens under the name Cymus virescens in the U. S. National Museum collection and can find no differences between West Indian specimens and those from continental North America. Therefore Cymus breviceps Stål becomes the correct name for the taxon Cymus virescens of authors, not Fabricius, and all references to virescens other than the two Fabrician ones should be transferred to breviceps Stål.

Ligyrocoris insititia (Distant), new combination

Erlacda (?) insititia Distant, 1893, Biol. Cent. Amer., Ins., Rhynch., Hemip.-Heterop., 1(suppl.):401, Pl. 35, Fig. 8.

Remarks: R. J. Izzard of the British Museum (Natural History) kindly confirmed my identification of this species. Since there is a stridulatory area, or "semi-lunate strigose vitta," on each side of the two basal abdominal segments, the species must be transferred to the genus Ligyrocoris Stål. It is most closely related to L. nitidicollis (Stål), though it is considerably larger.

Delochilocoris Bergroth

Dorachosa Distant, 1893, Biol. Cent. Amer., Ins., Rhynch., Hemip.-Heterop., 1(suppl.):409, preoccupied, Distant, 1892, Cicadidae.

Delochilocoris Bergroth, 1893, Rev. d'Ent., 12:154, new name for Dorachosa Distant, 1893.

Remarks: American authors have generally placed the North American species D. illuminatus Distant and D. umbrosus Distant in the old world genus Aphanus of authors, not Laporte, following Horváth, 1908 (Ann. Mus. Hungarici, 6:561) and Barber, 1919 (Jour. New York Ent. Soc., 26:61). China, 1943 (Generic Names of British Insects, Part 8, p. 242), however, pointed out that Rhyparochromus Hahn, 1826, must be used in place of Aphanus of authors, not Laporte, and American authors have followed this arrangement ever since.

In 1957 Scudder (Ent. Mon. Mag., 93:152-6) reclassified the subfamily Rhyparochrominae (now Megalonotinae, see Slater, 1957, Bull. Brooklyn Ent. Soc., 52:35-8) and placed Rhyparochromus Hahn in the subtribe Rhyparochromina (Megalonotina). The subtribe was characterized, among other characters, by the dorsal location of abdominal spiracles III and IV. I have examined the spiracles of D. umbrosus and find that only the spiracles of segment IV are dorsal; consequently, the species must belong to the subtribe Gonianotina, sensu Scudder. Therefore the North American species described by Distant cannot be placed in the old world genus Rhyparochromus Hahn, and Bergroth's Delochilocoris must be resurrected for the two American species.

Delochilocoris is very closely related to Malezonotus Barber. The most obvious difference is that the species of Delochilocoris have entirely black hemelytra while those of Malezonotus species are patterned. In addition, the species of *Delochilocoris* are subshining and nearly glabrous, even on the head, while those of Malezonotus are all dull, and have rather long pilosity on the body surface, or at least on the head, as in M. angustatus (Van Duzee).

Balboa Distant

Balboa Distant, 1893, Biol. Cent. Amer., Ins., Rhynch., Hemip.-Heterop., 1(suppl.):408, Pl. 35, Fig. 25.

Remarks: In 1918 Barber (Jour. New York Ent. Soc., 26:53) suggested that Balboa Distant might be a synonym of Ozophora Uhler, and in another paper in the same year (Psyche, 25:80) he included Balboa in his treatment of Ozophora. The monobasic type of the genus Balboa, variabilis Distant, differs from species of Ozophora in its foliaceously expanded rather than carinate, pronotal margins. Also unlike species of Ozophora, it possesses a stridulatory apparatus consisting of a striated coastal margin of the hemelytra and a plectrum on the hind femora. These characters would seem sufficient to establish Balboa as a valid genus. Ozophora ampliata Barber was found to be congeneric with B. variabilis and so is transferred to the genus Balboa. Balboa ampliata (Barber) is the new combination.

238 Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington

Prosomoeus brunneus Scott

Prosomoeus brunneus Scott, 1874, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (4), 14:435–7. Ligyrocoris terminalis Uhler, 1896, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., 19:262–3, new synonymy.

Izzard has checked a paratype of Uhler's species against the type of Scott's and pronounced them the same. Hence, *Ligyrocoris terminalis* Uhler must be regarded as a synonym of *Prosomoeus brunneus* Scott. Both were described from Japan.